

(Updated: (8/19/2023))
HCB 521 Clinical Ethics Practicum

Course Director Phyllis Migdal MD, MA

Supplemental Faculty: Maria Basile MD, Gregg Cantor MD, April Castillo MD, MPH, Kathleen Culver DNP, RN, CPNP, MA, Lauren Diot ANP-C, WCC, ACHPN, Robyn McKeefrey RN, MA, Rina Meyer MD, Clare Whitney PhD, MBE, RN, Kevin Zacharoff, MD

Semester: Fall 2023

Schedule: Thursday, 6:00-9:00 pm

The goals of clinical ethics are to identify, analyze, and resolve ethical problems that arise in the care of patients. While a theoretical understanding of ethical issues is essential, the details of actual clinical practice are often more complex and contextual than abstract principles would have one believe. Medical considerations, ethical and legal dimensions, comparisons with similar cases (casuistry), cultural factors, psychological conditions, familial circumstances, “stakeholders,” time constraints, heightened emotions, communication barriers, and a host of other dimensions make clinical ethics a matter of getting to plausibly “good” outcomes. This course will review the development of institutional ethics committees, theories of ethical reasoning and survey various topics that arise in clinical ethics.

Readings – required text (can be purchased on Amazon, AbeBooks, or eBooks)

- AR Jonson, M Siegler, WJ Winslade, *Clinical Ethics: A Practical Approach to Ethical Decisions in Clinical Medicine, 9th Edition* (New York: McGraw-Hill, 2021).
This is “the” classic manual that is commonly used by clinicians and the most successful “how to” book in the field.

- Relevant articles will be emailed weekly.

Course Structure

The first several weeks of the course are introductory about the nature and function of ethics committees and clinical ethical consultations, including background about the development of modern institutional ethics committees, and the use of essential documents, such as advanced directives. The process of case mediation and the “how to” of consultation, are also discussed. The course will then turn to an array of clinical areas and cases.

Week 1 (August 31): Introduction

(Phyllis Migdal MD, MA)

The historical development of clinical ethics committees, their composition, and their primary roles (policy, advisory case review, education) will be discussed. We will also introduce the function of providing clinical ethics consultation in small teams. How does this practice work? What are its strengths? How often is this service requested and by whom? What is the relationship of the ethics committee to offices of (a) Legal Risk Management and (b) Patient Advocacy?

Readings:

Ethics Committees in Health Care Institutions. (2016). AMA Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 10.7. Retrieved from: <https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/ethics/ethics-committees-health-care-institutions>

Hoffmann D.E., & Tarzian A.J. (2008). The Role and Legal Status of Health Care Ethics Committees in the United States. In Iltis A.S., Johnson S.H., Hinze B.A. *Legal Perspectives in Bioethics* (1st ed.). Rutledge.

Stony Brook Medicine “Ethics Consultation”

Who’s Who in the Hospital Setting

Begin reading:

Jonsen, Siegler & Winslade, *Clinical Ethics 8th Edition: A Practical Approach to Ethical Decisions in Clinical Medicine*, **Introduction and Topic One**

Week 2 (September 7): Introduction to the Healthcare Setting, the Law of Healthcare Decisions, Informed Consent, Healthcare Agents, and Surrogacy

(Robyn McKeefrey RN, MA)

We will discuss various procedures and forms developed within the healthcare setting that attempt to address common ethical issues in healthcare with an emphasis on consent forms, surrogacy, and agents designated by proxy. Various pitfalls will be addressed.

Readings:

Stony Brook Medicine Consent to Operation or Procedure and Anesthesia 2017 (McKeefrey)

Informed Consent Forms

Ch. 16 Ethics and the Law

Robert N. Swindler, “New York’s Family Health Care Decisions Act,” *NYSBA Journal*, June 2010, pp. 18-27.

Stony Brook Med - The Patient’s Bill of Rights

The MOLST Form (Medical Orders for Life-Sustaining Treatment” (McKeefrey)

The MOLST Form - Frequently Asked Questions (McKeefrey)

What is a MOLST Form?

New York State Health Care Proxy

Continue reading:

Jonsen, Siegler & Winslade, *Clinical Ethics 8th Edition: A Practical Approach to Ethical Decisions in Clinical Medicine*, **Topic Two**

Week 3 (September 14): Introduction to Case Analysis and Some Approaches to Ethical Reasoning

(Phyllis Migdal MD, MA)

The basics of clinical case write-ups and clinical case analysis (inductive details, ethical principles involved, casuistical dimensions, the Jonsen rubric, who decides, framing goals, shared decision making and its basis/limits, etc.) will be discussed. The ethics chart note is intended to serve multiple purposes and understanding how to properly structure one is essential to both this course and to the usefulness of any future writing in this area you might do. A template to model your clinical ethics note assignment on will also be reviewed.

Readings:

Antommara, A.H., Collura, A., Antiel, R.M., & Lantos, J.D. (2015). Two Infants, Same Prognosis, Different Parental Preferences. *Pediatrics*, 135(5), 918-923.

Courtenay R. Bruce, et al., (2014). Practical Guidance for Charting Ethics Consultation. *HEC Forum*, 26, 79-93.

Ethics Case Consultation Toolkit Summary Template

Exemplary Clinical Ethics Chart Note

Schumann, J.H., & Alfandre, D. (2008). Clinical Ethical Decision Making: The Four Topics Approach. *Seminars in Medical Practice*, 11, 36-42.

Stony Brook Med - Steps in Practical Judgement

Varkey, B. (2021). Principles of Clinical Ethics and Their Application to Practice. *Medical Principles and Practice*, 30, 17-28.

Keep working your way through topic two: Jonsen, Siegler & Winslade, *Clinical Ethics 8th Edition: A Practical Approach to Ethical Decisions in Clinical Medicine*, **Topic Two**

Week 4 (September 21): Ethical Case Resolution and Mediation

(Clare Whitney PhD, MBE, RN)

In this week, we will discuss an approach to clinical ethics consultation through mediation. Clinical ethics mediation involves core pillars of neutrality, conflict resolution, and enhancing communication between conflicting parties. Professional mediators seek to manage and find mutually acceptable resolutions to clinical conflicts stemming from conflicting values, perceptions of disrespect, and miscommunications, misunderstandings, or other breakdowns in communication. We will discuss the framework of identifying Positions and Interests, the

limitations of Principlism in the context of ethics consultation, and common communication techniques used by ethics mediators.

Readings:

Bergman, E. J. (2015). Identifying Sources of Clinical Conflict: A Tool for Practice and Training in Bioethics Mediation. *The Journal of Clinical Ethics*, 26(4), 315-323.

Fiester, A.M. (2015). Teaching Nonauthoritarian Clinical Ethics: Using an Inventory of Bioethical Positions. *Hastings Center Report*, 45(2), 20-26.

Fiester, A. M. (2015). Weaponizing Principles: Clinical Ethics Consultations and the Plight of the Morally Vulnerable. *Bioethics*, 29(5), 309-315.

Fiester, A. (2012). The “Difficult” Patient Reconciled: An Expanded Moral Mandate for Clinical Ethics. *The American Journal of Bioethics*, 12(5), 2-7.

Fiester, A. (2007). The Failure of the Consult Model: Why “Mediation” Should Replace “Consultation”. *The American Journal of Bioethics*, 7(2), 31-32.

Week 5 (September 28): Ethical Issues in Clinical Pediatrics

(Kathleen Culver, DNP, RN, CPNP, MA, Rina Meyer, MD)

In this week’s class, we will explore the unique ethical challenges facing pediatric patients and their health care providers. In pediatrics, most decisions are made by surrogate decision-makers, calling into question the concept of “best interest of the child” and requiring us to identify the appropriate decision-makers. We will look at a case that highlights these issues. Additionally, adolescent patients are at the cusp of their ability to make autonomous decisions. We will explore what happens when these decisions differ from the decisions of either their parents or the medical team. Finally, we will look at one of the most vulnerable pediatric populations – developmentally disabled children – and explore the challenges inherent in their care, and the multiple players involved.

Readings:

Baines, P. (2008). Medical Ethics for Children: Applying the Four Principles to Paediatrics. *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 34, 141-145.

Cunnings, C.L. & Mecurio, M.R. (2010). Autonomy, Beneficence, and Rights. *Pediatrics in Review*, 31(6), 252-255.

Fost, NC. (1985). Ethical Issues in the Care of Handicapped, Chronically Ill, and Dying Children. *Pediatrics in Review*, 6(10), 291-296.

Cases for 4-topic assignment – cases will be emailed, please review cases and be prepared to sign up at next lecture

Continue reading:

Jonsen, Siegler & Winslade, *Clinical Ethics 8th Edition: A Practical Approach to Ethical Decisions in Clinical Medicine*, **Topic Three**.

Week 6 (October 5): Reproductive Ethics
(April Castillo MD, MPH)

During this class we will examine the ethical principles and real-life applications involved in maternal-fetal care, including pregnancy related emergencies, abortion, and decision making when one or both lives of the patients are endangered. We will deeply consider the concept of bodily autonomy in the setting of its effects on potential lives, and the conflicts this may cause. These principles will be informed by the history of misogyny, racism, and classism that affect our society at large, legislating bodies, and healthcare systems.

Readings:
TBD

Cases for ‘4-topic’ assignment: please review and sign-up after tonight’s lecture. May work in groups of 2.

Week 7 (October 12):
In class 4-Box Case Presentations

Readings:
Cases for clinical chart note assignment to be emailed

Week 8 (October 19): Ethical Issues in Cardiology
(Gregg Cantor MD)

In this session we will discuss ethical issues in cardiology. We will mainly focus on pacemakers/defibrillators and their potential withdrawal in end-of-life situations. These devices can bring much benefit to a patient’s life, however, can also function against a patient’s wishes during end-of-life situations. Students will learn about these benefits and dilemmas and discuss the differences between the discontinuance these devices provide versus other medical therapies.

Readings:
TBD

Week 9 (October 26): Ethics of Reproductive Technology – Pre-Implantation Diagnosis and Selection, Designer Babies and Gene Editing
(Phyllis Migdal MD, MA)

Reproductive ethics assures that the basic rights of all people to decide freely concerning whether or not to reproduce is independent of discrimination, coercion or violence. In making those choices, the framework of human rights and basic medical ethics principles of autonomy, self-determination, justice, liberty, individual freedom and equitable access to services all apply.

In this section we will explore within the field of reproductive ethics the topics of preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD), the deaf culture, and assisted reproductive technology with applicable case studies sure to result in an interesting paradigm of discussion.

Readings:

TBD

Week 10 (November 2): Pain

(Kevin Zacharoff, MD)

Pain is one of the most common reasons that people seek medical attention in the United States today, with an estimated 60 million people suffering from a pain-related condition at any given time. In the year 2000, pain was designated as the “fifth vital sign” giving people the right to have their pain assessed and treated by their healthcare providers. Several ethical dilemmas have surfaced since; including the increased/over-prescribing of opioid medications for patients with chronic pain, lack of oversight of suspicious dispensing of opioid analgesics by pharmaceutical companies and drug distributors, along with abuse, misuse, and addiction related to these medications. The “*opioid overdose epidemic*” has led to the dilemma of balancing the safe, compassionate, and effective treatment of chronic pain and negative outcomes (including overdose deaths) associated with the increased use of medications used to achieve these goals. Additionally, deadly illicit opioids such as fentanyl mixed with heroin and other illicit substances have further blurred the lines between responsible parties for this increasing epidemic. The emergence of the Coronavirus pandemic in some ways has magnified the societal impact of these phenomena with more people dying of opioid-related overdoses than ever before. This session along with reading materials will provide a forum for discussion and analysis of this important situation facing healthcare and society today.

Readings:

TBD

Continue reading:

Jonsen, Siegler & Winslade, *Clinical Ethics 8th Edition: A Practical Approach to Ethical Decisions in Clinical Medicine*, **Topic Four**

DUE: Chart note

PPT Presentations on topic of interest from Winslade, Slade and Jonsen begin November 10

Week 11 (November 9): Nutrition/Feeding/PEGS

(Maria Basile MD)

One of the complications of later-stage Alzheimer's Disease (AD) and other advanced dementias is the difficulty associated with adequate feeding and nutrition. Early in the course of the disease, this may manifest simply as irregular feeding patterns. As neurologic function becomes increasingly compromised, patients eventually suffer a lack of control over swallowing both solids and liquids. Family and friends are often faced with the unfortunate reality of watching a loved one suffer not only the drawn-out cognitive decline associated with these diseases, but also a terminal stage whereby achieving basic nutrition and hydration becomes an

everyday challenge. Through the 1980's and mid-1990's, application of the PEG (percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy) tube (invented in 1979) procedure for patients with advanced dementia became commonplace and replaced the older practice of assisted oral feeding. The relatively simple procedure, which passes a feeding tube directly through the nearby skin and then directly into the stomach itself, was thought to present a humane method for keeping these patients adequately fed and hydrated by bypassing the compromised swallowing mechanism. It was also hoped that PEG tube placement would reduce associated complications such as bed sores from malnutrition and aspiration pneumonia from poor swallowing. However, by 2000 a number of key articles were published seriously questioning the value and the ethics of PEG use in individuals with end-stage AD. Since then, debate has raged over the PEG and its uses among deeply forgetful people.

Our session will focus on the clinical ethical literature around this topic, which we will discuss in detail. We will also examine a number of clinical cases where PEG use is considered.

Readings:

Casarett, D., Kapo, J., & Caplan, A. (2005). Appropriate Use of Artificial Nutrition and Hydration – Fundamental Principles and Recommendations. *NEJM*, 353(24), 2607-2612.

Hoffman, M.R. (2019). Tracheostomies and PEGs: When Are They Really Indicated. *Surg Clin N Am*, 99, 955-965.

Kato, T. (2020). A Transplant Surgeon's Story of Surviving COVID-19. *Chimera, American Society of Transplant Surgeons (ASTS) What's Your Perspective?* <https://asts.org/news-and-publications/chimera/what's-your-perspective/what's-your-perspective/2020/09/01/a-transplant-surgeon-s-story-of-surviving-covid-19#.Y1AUzuzMIlw>

Monroe County Medical Society Community-wide Guidelines. Benefits/Burdens of Tube Feeding/PEG Placement for Adults. (2020). (PDF provided).

Post, S.G. (2001). Tube Feeding and Advanced Progressive Dementia. *Hastings Center Report*, 31(1), 36-42.

Schneider, P.L., Fruchtman, C., Indenbaum, J., Neuman, E, Wilson, C., Keville, T. (2021). Ethical Considerations Concerning use of Percutaneous Endoscopic Gastrostomy Feeding Tubes in Patients with Advanced Dementia. *The Permanente Journal*, 25(3), 1-1. Doi: 10.791/tpp/20.302.

Schulze, M.A. Mazzola, R., & Hoffmann, F. (2016). Incidence of Tube Feeding in 7174 Newly Admitted Nursing Home Residents With and Without Dementia. *American Journal of Alzheimer's Disease & Other Dementias*, 31(1), 27-33.

Singer, A.J., Morley, E.J., & Henry, M.C. (2020). Staying Ahead of the Wave. *NEJM*, 382(18), 344(1).

Brief discussion after class of topics for presentations of topics of interest from text, sign-up for either group 1 or group 2

Week 12 (November 16): Palliative Care

(Laureen Diot ANP-C, WCC, ACHPN)

Palliative care medicine encompasses the care of patients and families during serious, possibly life-threatening illnesses. The goals of ensuring that patient preferences are met along with providing the relief of pain and suffering are important aspects of palliative care. The differences with hospice care will be discussed and how ethical guidelines can be used to help the patient and family make decisions about their care.

Readings:

Colby, WH. (2019). Nancy Cruzan and the Withhold versus Withdraw Dilemma. *American Journal of Bioethics*, 19(3), 1-2.

<https://www.bioethics.net/2019/03/nancy-cruzan-and-the-withhold-versus-withdraw-dilemma/>

Fine, RL. (2005). From Quinlan to Schiavo: Medical, Ethical, and Legal Issues in Severe Brain Injury. *Baylor University Medical Center Proceedings, BUMC 18*, 303-310.

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1255938/>

Lutz, R. (2020). Adjusting Palliative Care Practices for a Pandemic. *Contagion Live Newsletter*.

<https://www.contagionlive.com/news/adjusting-palliative-care-practices-for-a-pandemic>

Petsko, GA. (2005). A Matter of Life and Death. *Genome Biology* 6, 109.1-109.3.

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1175946/>

Week 13 (November 30): Discussion of topics of interest from Jonsen, Siegler, Winslade – Group 1

(Migdal)

In class presentation of a topic of interest (~15–20 minute presentation, and 5-10 minute discussion) from your readings in the text. Explore the clinical ethical issue in depth and the key take-aways or learning points as the topic relates to ethical decision making. Students can work in groups of 2 if areas of interest are similar. Please inform me of the topic and members of the group the week prior to this session.

Week 14 (December 7): Discussion of topics of interest from Jonsen, Siegler, Winslade – Group 2

(Migdal)

In class presentation of a topic of interest (15-20 minute presentation, and 5-10 minute discussion) from your readings in the text. Explore the clinical ethical issue in depth and the key take-aways or learning points as the topic relates to ethical decision making. Students can work in groups of 2 if areas of interest are similar. Please inform me of the topic and members of the group the week prior to this session.

Week 15: (December 14): **Position Paper due**

GRADING

All papers must include:

- **Name on title page**
- **Page number**
- **APA or MLA in-text and reference list citations**

Absences:

- **Must be excused prior to class**
- **If you miss more than one class or if absence was not excused a 3-5 page write-up is required about the topic of the week you missed.**

1. Classic case-presentation – 4-box approach, PowerPoint Presentation (20%)
 - a. Case analysis using a systematic process to identify and defend an ethical dilemma using ethical principles.
 - i. Cases to be distributed in class
 - b. Presentation using the Four Topics Chart described in Jonsen, et al.
 - i. Identify the Issue
 1. Provide an overview of the case
 2. Outline the options
 3. Construct ethical arguments
 4. Evaluate the arguments provided in the classical case
 - ii. Make a decision/recommendation
 1. Since cases are historical provide support for or against the decision
 2. Provide the ethical analysis that supports your decision
 - iii. Form and discuss 2 reflective questions about the case
 1. To stimulate further discussion about the ethical dilemma
2. Students will be asked to turn in an ethics chart note following a specific template that we will discuss early in the course. (20%).
3. Students will lead an in-class ~15-20 minute discussion of a topic of interest from the *Clinical Ethics* text. (20%)
4. Students will turn in a 7-page position paper on any topic covered in the course, drawing from the assigned readings and further research. You may use the topic as a springboard for your thoughts and positions that go beyond the discussion in class. Outside resources may be used to contribute to the strength of your position and should be cited in APA or MLA format. (20%)

Writing a Position Paper: (adapted from Xavier University guide, 2014)

- a.) The purpose of a position paper is to present one side of an arguable opinion to generate support on an issue. It describes a position on an issue and the rationale for that position.
- b.) The position paper also presents the counterargument or the opposing position, but advocates for the side position that you take based on the evidence you present.
- c.) Choose an issue where there are clearly varying opinions that can be argued and substantiated.
- d.) Narrow your topic, define and limit your issue.
- e.) **Format:**

- i. Introduction: (Identifies the issue and states your position)
The first section begins with the selection of a topic that has multiple viewpoints and written to capture the reader’s attention. The introductory paragraph includes a statement of your position (thesis), and how the paper will proceed in terms of arguments in favor of your position and the counterarguments that you will elaborate on in the body of your paper.
 - a. Introduction of the topic
 - b. Background information
 - c. State your thesis (your view on the issue)
- ii. The main body: (Provides supporting evidence, discussion of both sides of the issue, arguments and counterarguments)
Develops the thesis discussed in the introduction. The body of your paper includes supporting information for your position from the class readings or other sources. Further, this section includes why alternative positions are incorrect or not as strong as the position you support.
- iii. Conclusion:
Summarizes the main strengths of your position and points toward a further question that you will not address at this time.

- 5. The remaining **20%** will be class attendance, assigned readings and participation. It is important to be an active and vocal contributor to discussion.
 - a. Please note attendance requirements above.

=====

Student Accessibility Support Center Statement

If you have a physical, psychological, medical, or learning disability that may impact your course work, please contact the Student Accessibility Support Center, Stony Brook Union Suite 107, (631) 632-6748, or at sasc@stonybrook.edu. They will determine with you what accommodations are necessary and appropriate. All information and documentation is confidential.

Students who require assistance during emergency evacuation are encouraged to discuss their needs with their professors and the Student Accessibility Support Center. For procedures and

information go to the following website: <https://ehs.stonybrook.edu//programs/fire-safety/emergency-evacuation/evacuation-guide-disabilities> and search Fire Safety and Evacuation and Disabilities.

Academic Integrity Statement

Each student must pursue his or her academic goals honestly and be personally accountable for all submitted work. Representing another person's work as your own is always wrong. Faculty is required to report any suspected instances of academic dishonesty to the Academic Judiciary. Faculty in the Health Sciences Center (School of Health Professions, Nursing, Social Welfare, Dental Medicine) and School of Medicine are required to follow their school-specific procedures. For more comprehensive information on academic integrity, including categories of academic dishonesty please refer to the academic judiciary website at http://www.stonybrook.edu/commcms/academic_integrity/index.html

Critical Incident Management

Stony Brook University expects students to respect the rights, privileges, and property of other people. Faculty are required to report to the Office of Student Conduct and Community Standards any disruptive behavior that interrupts their ability to teach, compromises the safety of the learning environment, or inhibits students' ability to learn. Faculty in the HSC Schools and the School of Medicine are required to follow their school-specific procedures. Further information about most academic matters can be found in the Undergraduate Bulletin, the Undergraduate Class Schedule, and the Faculty-Employee Handbook.